

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/814,083	03/30/2004	Grant M. Kloster	42P16006D	7267
7590 03/28/2006			EXAMINER	
Michael A. Bernadicou			BREWSTER, WILLIAM M	
BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP 12400 Wilshire Boulevard			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Seventh Floor			2823	
Los Angeles, CA 90025			DATE MAILED: 03/28/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	-	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments		10/814,083	KLOSTER ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		William M. Brewster	2823			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REIGHT CONTROL OF THE MAILING SISTERS OF THE MAILING SISTERS OF THE MAILING SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated the period of the pe	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from titute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03	3 March 2006.				
		his action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) <u>18-37</u> is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>18-37</u> are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
10) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Exam The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to by the label drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Secrection is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the label drawing(s) is objected to be labeled in abeliance.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)				
3) Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/r No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: Species I: claims 18-24; Species II: claims 25-37. The species are independent or distinct because Species I, claim 18 requires "at least a portion of the layer of nonconductive compliant compliant material having a top surface lower than a top surface of at least one of said first plurality of interconnect structures." Species II does not require above limitation, but does require in claim 25, lines 5-8, "a nonconductive compliant material disposed adjacent to the first interconnect structure and the second interconnect structure and between the first wafer and the second wafer, the nonconductive compliant material structurally supporting the first wafer during thinning of the first wafer."

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 10/814,083

Art Unit: 2823

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Art Unit: 2823

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William M. Brewster whose telephone number is 571-272-1854. The examiner can normally be reached on Full Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Smith can be reached on 571-272-1907. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William M. Brenster

WILLIAM M. BREWSTER PRIMARY EXAMINER

21 March 2006 WB